

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

mN

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,804	12/14/2001	Mika Salmivalli	P284103 2980417US/KA/ HER	1649
	7590 05/31/200 VINTHROP SHAW PI		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 10500			TRUONG, THANHNGA B	
MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2135	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/014,804	SALMIVALLI, MIKA		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Thanhnga B. Truong	2135		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app				
Period fo					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. If you be timely filed If som the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		·			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/9/2	007 (RCE).			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-13 is/are pending in the a	pplication.	•		
,_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-13 is/are rejected.		•		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
•	The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 December 2001</u> is/a		objected to by the Examiner.		
, , <u> </u>	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·		
•	. •	nriority under 35 H.S.C. & 1	119(a) (d) or (f)		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 0.5.C. 9	1 19(a)-(d) 01 (1).		
a)	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		plication No.		
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•			
•	application from the International Burea	•			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eceived.		
			·		
		Than	home & Try AUZI35		
			' AUZISS		
Attachmer		4) 🔲 Interview Su	·		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date		
3) Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/014,804 Page 2

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 9, 2007 has been entered. Claims 1-8 and 10-13 are pending. Claim 9 is canceled by the applicant. At this time, claims 1-13 are rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp.*, 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999).

a. Referring to claim 7:

The term "an alert device" in claim 7 is used by the claim to mean "produce a signal indicating that the mobile equipment identity is possibly a copied one". The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term.

b. Referring to claim 12:

The term "an indication unit" in claim 12 is used by the claim to mean "produce a signal indicating that the mobile equipment identity is possibly a copied one". The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term.

c. Referring to claim 13:

Art Unit: 2135

The term "a requester" in claim 13 is used by the claim to mean "requesting at least one international mobile subscriber identity from the database on the basis of the received international mobile equipment identity". The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term.

The term "a comparator" in claim 13 is used by the claim to mean "compare the requested international mobile subscriber identity corresponds to the received international mobile subscriber identity". The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term.

The term "an input" in claim 13 is used by the claim to mean "receiving an international mobile equipment identity". The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term.

The term "an output" in claim 13 is used by the claim to mean "produce a signal indicating that the mobile equipment identity is possibly a copied one". The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 1-8, 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

a. Referring to claim 13:

(1) Claim 13 recites a computer program product embodied in a computer readable medium for detecting a copied international mobile equipment identity in a mobile system in which at least one international mobile subscriber identity and a mobile equipment identity is associated with a mobile station. The preamble clearly directs toward a software program, wherein these intangible media such as software or other program incapable of being touched or perceived absent the tangible medium through which they are conveyed. Thus, claim 13 does not recite any

Art Unit: 2135

structure, i.e., machine to carry out the functions of all the recited steps. Therefore, claim 13 recites non-statutory subject matter.

b. Referring to claims 1-8 and 10-11, and 12:

i. These claims have limitations that is similar to those of claim13, thus they are rejected with the same rationale applied against claim 13 above.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanhnga (Tanya) Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-3858.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached at 571-272-3859. The fax and phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

TBT

May 28, 2007

Markny B Thy AUZIZS